Analysis of the Impact of Changes in Accounting Standards on Corporate Financial Reporting

Wang,Guanli

Wuhan Urban Construction Group Co., Ltd. Wuhan, Hubei, 430011, China

Abstract: The changes in accounting standards have had a profound impact on the preparation and disclosure of corporate financial reports, specifically reflected in the adjustment of financial report formats, reclassification of balance sheet items, and updates to revenue recognition methods. These changes not only provide companies with a more standardized and transparent financial reporting framework, but also bring many challenges in practical implementation. The accuracy of financial data, timing errors in revenue recognition, and improper application of accounting estimation methods have become urgent issues that enterprises need to address in the process of preparing financial reports. Strengthening the data verification process, clarifying the timing of revenue recognition, and optimizing accounting estimation methods are considered effective measures to address these issues. These measures help improve the accuracy and reliability of financial information, thereby promoting the steady development of enterprises in the fierce market competition.

Keywords: Accounting standards; Corporate financial reports; Influence

DOI: 10.62639/sspjiss23.20250202

1. Introduction

The changes in accounting standards aim to improve the transparency and comparability of financial information, but at the same time, they also pose higher challenges to the financial management capabilities of enterprises. Especially in terms of revenue recognition, fair value measurement, and classification of balance sheet items, enterprises not only need to adapt to the technical changes brought about by the new standards, but also need to seek a balance between financial reporting quality and compliance. Currently, many enterprises have encountered some common problems when implementing the new standards, which not only affect the reliability of financial information but may also have adverse effects on investment decisions and risk management. Therefore, studying the specific impact of changes in accounting standards on corporate financial reporting, as well as optimizing measures to address related issues, has important practical significance.

2. The Impact of Changes in Accounting Standards on Corporate Financial Reporting

(1) Changes in the format of financial reports

The changes in accounting standards have a significant impact on the format of financial reports, mainly reflected in the redefinition of financial statement structure and information disclosure content. Firstly, the new format may require companies to provide more detailed information, which increases the complexity of financial report preparation. Enterprises need to adjust their financial systems to support data extraction and organization in the new format. For example, the new standards require a more detailed division of cash flows between operating

(Manuscript NO.: JISS-25-2-74001)

About the Author

Wang,Guanli (1979-), Gender: Female, Ethnicity: Han, Native Place: Jingzhou, Hubei, Education: Bachelor's degree; Current professional title: Senior Accountant; Research direction: Financial Management.

and investing activities, which requires the finance department of the enterprise to re evaluate internal processes and make adjustments. For some small and medium-sized enterprises, this adjustment may result in additional time and resource investment. Secondly, changes in the format of financial reports may make it difficult to directly compare financial reports between companies. Different companies may have deviations in their understanding and implementation of the new format, such as reclassifying certain accounts or disclosing content in varying degrees of detail, which can affect the comparability of financial statements. This puts higher demands on investors and other report users, increasing the difficulty of their analysis and decision-making. Finally, format changes may directly affect the basis of management decisions. For example, some new standards require that assets and liabilities related to financial leasing be included in the balance sheet rather than disclosed only in the notes. This format change not only affects the financial ratio calculation of the enterprise, but may also alter its competitive position in the industry and financing costs.

(2) Reclassification of balance sheet items

The reclassification of balance sheet items is one of the important impacts of changes in accounting standards, mainly reflected in the recognition, measurement, and presentation of assets and liabilities. Firstly, the reclassification of the balance sheet may affect the financial structure of the enterprise. For example, in the new accounting standards, the classification criteria for long-term assets and current assets are more clear, and companies need to re evaluate their classification based on the liquidity and useful life of assets. This change may lead to changes in the current ratio and quick ratio of the enterprise, thereby affecting the external judgment of its debt paying ability. Secondly, reclassification may lead to changes in the asset liability level of the enterprise. Taking the leasing accounting standards as an example, the revised standards require companies to directly report the financing lease related assets and liabilities that were originally disclosed as notes on the balance sheet. Although this approach increases the transparency of financial statements, it may also significantly increase a company's debt ratio, affecting its financing ability and capital market image. Finally, reclassification may also have an impact on the internal financial management and decision-making of the enterprise. For example, in the process of asset restructuring or sale, adjustments to asset classification may lead to a reassessment of transaction prices and profitability. At the same time, certain contractual terms or credit ratings related to assets or liabilities may need to be re examined based on the new classification.

(3) Adjustment of revenue recognition methods

The adjustment of revenue recognition methods is one of the core contents of accounting standard changes, which aims to improve the accuracy and consistency of revenue recognition, but also has a significant impact on the financial reporting of enterprises. Firstly, the adjustment of revenue recognition methods may affect the revenue structure of the enterprise. For example, the new regulations require companies to recognize revenue based on performance obligations, rather than traditional delivery of goods or services. This change may lead to the early or delayed recognition of revenue for some companies, thereby affecting the presentation of their income statements. For example, companies in the software industry may need to spread the one-time recognized revenue over the contract performance period, thereby affecting their short-term profitability. Secondly, adjustments to revenue recognition may have a direct impact on a company's financial indicators. For example, changes in revenue recognition methods may lead to changes in the distribution of revenue and profits in different accounting periods, thereby affecting the profitability indicators and return on equity of the enterprise. This change may not only affect investors' decisions, but also impact the management's assessment of financial performance. Finally, changes in revenue recognition methods may also pose higher requirements for contract management in enterprises. Enterprises need to conduct a more detailed review of contract terms to ensure that their revenue recognition complies with the new accounting standards. For example, for contracts that include multiple performance obligations, companies need to reasonably allocate total revenue to each obligation and recognize revenue at the time of performance. Although this approach improves the accuracy of revenue recognition, it also increases the complexity of enterprise management.

3. Common Issues in Corporate Financial Reporting Affected by Changes in Accounting Standards

(1) Data accuracy issues in financial statements

After the change of accounting standards, the issue of data accuracy in corporate financial statements has become more prominent, mainly reflected in multiple aspects. Firstly, when implementing the new standards, enterprises may deviate from the application of accounting policies due to inadequate understanding of relevant provisions, which may affect the accuracy of data in financial statements. The processing of the same transaction by different enterprises may vary due to different interpretations of the standards, directly affecting the consistency and accuracy of the data. Secondly, the new standards introduce some complex measurement methods, such as fair value measurement. This measurement method relies on market data or valuation models, and companies may experience errors in the relevant data in their financial statements due to a lack of reliable market data or improper selection of valuation model parameters in actual operations. Thirdly, the adjustment of revenue recognition methods has raised higher requirements for the data processing of enterprises. Enterprises need to recognize revenue based on performance obligations, but in practical operations, the identification of performance obligations may not be accurate enough, resulting in incorrect recording of revenue amounts and time points. Fourthly, when enterprises reclassify assets, they need to conduct a detailed evaluation of the characteristics, usage purposes, and future economic benefits of each asset. If the evaluation is insufficient or lacks relevant supporting documents, it will lead to errors in the classification of assets and liabilities, thereby affecting the accuracy of the report data.

(2) Error in revenue recognition timing

The error in the timing of revenue recognition has become a common problem in corporate financial reporting after changes in accounting standards, manifested in multiple aspects. Firstly, there are inaccuracies in the identification of performance obligations by enterprises in actual business, resulting in a deviation between the time of revenue recognition and the actual completion of business. The identification of performance obligations needs to be based on contract terms and business substance, but in practice, enterprises are prone to deviation in the confirmation time due to unclear expression of contract terms or incorrect judgment of business substance. Secondly, the proportion and timing of income sharing are prone to errors in contracts with multiple performance obligations. When a contract contains multiple performance obligations, the enterprise needs to allocate the total revenue to each obligation and recognize them separately at the time of performance. However, due to incomplete basic data on the allocation ratio or inappropriate allocation methods, there may be deviations in the recognition time of revenue in different periods, resulting in inaccurate revenue data in the financial statements. Again, the timing of revenue recognition is prone to errors due to contract changes. When there is a change in the contract terms, the enterprise needs to re evaluate whether the performance obligations in the original contract have been fulfilled and when the performance obligations in the new contract should be confirmed. However, in practice, due to the complexity of contract changes and insufficient analysis of relevant clauses, enterprises are prone to overlook certain key clauses, resulting in errors in the timing of revenue recognition. Finally, for long-term contracts, the timing of revenue recognition often results in errors due to inaccurate progress estimates. Enterprises usually adopt the method of recognizing revenue based on progress in long-term contracts, but due to the involvement of multiple variables in the evaluation of progress, the complexity of data collection and processing increases, which may ultimately lead to errors in the recognition and allocation of revenue in different accounting periods. Especially in the absence of clear progress measurement standards, the subjectivity of progress recognition increases the risk of timing errors in revenue recognition.

(3) Estimation errors caused by improper accounting treatment

After the change of accounting standards, estimation errors caused by improper accounting treatment in financial reports of enterprises manifest in multiple aspects. Firstly, in fair value measurement, due to the failure of enterprises to accurately select market data or reasonable valuation methods, the estimated values of related assets or liabilities deviate from reality. This problem is particularly reflected in complex financial instruments or assets without active markets, where the judgment bias of enterprises in selecting model parameters directly leads to inaccurate estimates. Secondly, in asset impairment testing, companies may make estimation errors due to insufficient assessment of the future recoverable amount of assets. The recognition of asset impairment needs to be based on cash flow forecasting, which involves multiple assumptions and parameters. Improper selection of these parameters and assessment of the reasonableness of assumptions by enterprises can easily lead to inaccurate impairment amounts, thereby affecting the authenticity of relevant data in financial reports. Finally, when estimating the net realizable value of inventory, companies may not have fully considered the trend of market price changes or the speed of inventory consumption, resulting in differences between the estimated value and the actual net realizable value. Errors in inventory estimation not only affect the book value of ending inventory, but also directly affect the recognition of profit and loss in the current period, which will reduce the reliability of data reflected in financial statements.

4. Suggestions for Optimizing Corporate Financial Reports under the Influence of Changes in Accounting Standards

(1) Improve the data verification process

Firstly, in order to solve the problem of inadequate understanding of relevant provisions by enterprises when implementing new standards, it is necessary to strengthen the unified training and interpretation of accounting policies. Enterprises need to organize a professional team to systematically sort out the specific content of the standard clauses, clarify the application scope and requirements of each clause. Secondly, in order to address the issue of data errors caused by improper market data or valuation model selection in fair value measurement for enterprises, a rigorous fair value measurement process should be established. Enterprises need to introduce mature market data sources and establish a sound data verification mechanism to rigorously review the models and parameters used in the fair value measurement process. Regularly calibrate the valuation model and update measurement parameters in a timely manner based on market changes to ensure the objectivity and accuracy of relevant data. Once again, in response to the issue of inaccurate identification of performance obligations after adjusting the revenue recognition method, enterprises should improve contract management and revenue verification processes. Specifically, a dedicated contract evaluation team can be established to conduct detailed analysis and record the performance obligations in each contract, ensuring that the identification of performance obligations meets the requirements of the new standards. Finally, to address the issue of insufficient evaluation during the reclassification of assets and liabilities, enterprises should strengthen the process management of asset liability classification. For asset classification, it is necessary to introduce a professional evaluation team to conduct a comprehensive analysis based on asset characteristics and future economic benefits inflows, ensuring sufficient classification basis. For the classification of liabilities, attention should be paid to changes in contract terms and the essence of related economic events, and adjustments should be made to existing classifications if necessary.

(2) Clarify the time nodes for revenue recognition

Firstly, to address the issue of inaccurate identification of performance obligations, enterprises should strengthen the contract evaluation process, establish a dedicated contract management team, conduct detailed analysis of the terms and substance of each contract, and clarify the scope and content of performance obligations. The team should break down the contract terms item by item based on the specific requirements of the new accounting standards, combine them with the business model and actual operation of the enterprise, clearly divide and record the performance obligations, and ensure accurate identification. Secondly, in order to address the issue of errors in revenue sharing ratios and timing, companies need to establish revenue sharing models and introduce data analysis tools to assist in the sharing process. In contracts with multiple performance obligations, enterprises should reasonably allocate based on the individual selling price or market reference price of each obligation. The management can develop detailed allocation guidelines, clarify the price and proportion basis used in the allocation process, and ensure that the revenue recognition of each performance obligation has sufficient logical support. Once again, in response to the issue of deviation in revenue recognition timing caused by contract changes, enterprises should improve the handling mechanism for contract changes. Specifically, when a contract change occurs, the enterprise should immediately initiate a special review process, and the contract management and finance teams should jointly evaluate the impact of the change on the performance obligations, and reconfirm the revenue amount and time nodes based on the actual progress of performance. Finally, in order to address the issue of inaccurate estimation of long-term contract completion progress, companies need to strengthen collaboration between engineering or project management and finance departments. The management should establish clear progress measurement standards to ensure that each stage of progress is supported by quantifiable indicators. Introduce third-party evaluation agencies to conduct independent audits of project progress, avoiding deviations caused by subjective judgments.

(3) Optimizing accounting estimation methods

Firstly, in order to address the issue of improper selection of market data or valuation models in fair value measurement, enterprises should establish a comprehensive fair value assessment framework. The specific approach is to establish a dedicated fair value assessment team responsible for collecting and analyzing market data, and selecting appropriate valuation models. At the same time, enterprises should cooperate with professional evaluation agencies to improve the objectivity and accuracy of evaluations by introducing external professional opinions. Secondly, in response to estimation errors caused by insufficient assessment of recoverable amounts in asset impairment testing, enterprises should establish a strict impairment testing process. The management needs to clearly define the timing and execution standards for impairment testing, and require comprehensive forecasting of future cash flows for related assets. Enterprises can introduce scenario analysis and stress testing to simulate the impact of different market conditions on asset value and improve the reliability of predictions. At the same time, communication with the asset management department should be strengthened to ensure that cash flow forecasts are based on the latest operational data and market information. Finally, in terms of estimating the net realizable value of inventory, enterprises need to optimize their inventory management systems and strengthen dynamic monitoring of market prices and consumption rates. Specific measures include establishing a real-time price monitoring mechanism, tracking market price fluctuations of major inventory categories, and dynamically adjusting inventory estimation models based on consumption data. Enterprises should also establish an inventory estimation review process, in which the finance and procurement departments jointly review the net realizable value of inventory to ensure that the estimated results are consistent with the actual situation.

5. Conclusion

The research results indicate that improving the data verification process, clarifying the time nodes for revenue recognition, and optimizing accounting estimation methods are effective means to cope with changes in standards. These strategies can not only help companies improve the quality of financial reporting, but also enhance their competitiveness in the international market. In the future, with the continuous changes in the economic environment and the deepening of globalization, accounting standards will also be constantly updated and improved. This requires companies to strengthen their existing problem-solving capabilities while paying attention to the dynamic changes in new standards, exploring more efficient financial management tools and methods to cope with the increasingly complex accounting environment.

References

[1] Xu Xiao The Impact of Accounting Standards Reform on the Quality of Corporate Financial Reporting [J]. China's E-commerce Situation, 2024, (22): 84-86.
[2] Wang Lirong Analysis of the Impact of Accounting Standards Changes on Corporate Financial Reporting [J]. Financial and Accounting Studies, 2024, (24): 97-99.
[3] Cheng Haitao Research on the Impact of Accounting Standards Reform on the Quality of Corporate Financial Reports [J]. Modernization of the Market, 2024, (14): 183-185.
[4] Wang Ying The impact of changes in accounting standards on corporate financial reporting [J]. Financial and accounting studies, 2023, (14): 1-3.
[5] Dong Xiaojing An Analysis of the Impact of Changes in Accounting Standards on Financial Management of Small and Medium sized Enterprises in China [J]. Management and Technology of Small and Medium sized Enterprises (Zhongxun Journal), 2014, (03): 65-67.